

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/435,570	11/08/99	KOBIK	J	591-99-023
- ΩM02/0124 ¬			EXAMINER	
PAUL L MARSHALL			WALT	ON, G
ALLIEDSIGNAL TRUCK BRAKE SYSTEMS COMPANY 901 CLEVELAND STREET		CE SYSTEMS COMPANY	ART UNI	T PAPER NUMBER
ELYRIA OH 4			3753	7.

DATE MAILED: 01/24/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/435,570

Applicant(s)

KUBIK et al

Examiner

George L. Walton

Group Art Unit 3753

Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•			
☐ This action is FINAL .				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except fo in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193	r formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed 5 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set t is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensi 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the			
Disposition of Claims				
	is/are pending in the application.			
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
☐ Claim(s)				
	•			
☐ Claim(s)				
Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
🛮 See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	g Review, PTO-948.			
The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Examiner.			
The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is _approved _disapproved.			
\square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).			
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	of the priority documents have been			
received.				
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu				
\square received in this national stage application from the	: International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
*Certified copies not received:				
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).			
Attachment(s)				
■ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	lo(s)			
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	40			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9 Notice of Informal Potent Application, PTO-152 Notice of Informal Potent Application PTO-152 Notice of Information Infor	40			
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152				
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON	THE FOLLOWING PAGES			
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON	IIIL I OLLOTINIG I AGEG			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/435,570

Art Unit: 3753

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Klimek. The first portion with cavity is readable on element 84 and the second portion and shoulder are readable on element 78. The frictional protrusions are readable on the elements that defines the cavity for receiving the seal 82. Element 96 is readable on the spring follower and elements 76 and 78 are readable on the check valve member.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims

Application/Control Number: 09/435,570

Art Unit: 3753

under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 3-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klimek in view of Iannelli. The above claims are readable on the patent to Klimek with the single exception of having a check valve member and check valve housing that are made of non-metallic or plastic type material. The patent to Iannelli teaches the above exception. In view of the teaching of Iannelli, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to provide the above exception to the device of Klimek as taught by elements 10-39, if desired. Such a modification provides no unobvious or unexpected result.

Application/Control Number: 09/435,570

Art Unit: 3753

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George L. Walton whose telephone number is (703) 308-2596.

GEORGE L. WALTON

PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER - 3700

ART UNIT - 3753

GLW

January 18, 2001